
D29 – Report on Dissemination Activities and Performance Indicators



Project funded by the European Union's Next Generation EU through the Slovak Republic's Recovery and Resilience Plan under project no. 09I05-03-V02-00049.



INTRODUCTION

The project **"Automation of Legal Text Analysis based on Machine Learning**" (hereinafter "**ALTAML**") represents a key initiative aimed at integrating innovative approaches in the field of data processing and subsequent analysis, with a focus on the field of law, which also includes information and communication technology law. The aim of this project is to develop and validate effective methods for the automated analysis of legal texts using machine learning techniques. This involves, in particular, the development of tools that facilitate the processing and analysis of large volumes of data in the form of various legal documents, as well as the extraction of relevant information (attributes) from such documents, including the identification of key terms, references to legislation and other attributes.

The aim of the ALTAML project is therefore to contribute to more efficient access to legal information and to the acceleration of legal processes, thereby ensuring a higher degree of legal certainty and improving the availability of legal texts, the results of their analysis and relevant legal information for both professionals and the general public.

As part of work package KPB5 – Empirical Legal Research and CDE, **D29 – Report on Dissemination Activities and Performance Indicators** (Report on Dissemination KPIs) was prepared. The partner responsible for this deliverable is Comenius University Bratislava. The report evaluates the performance of the communication and dissemination activities of the ALTAML project over the reporting period M01 – M24 and provides a basis for assessing the fulfilment of the dissemination plan, the quality of the communication mix and the impact achieved. The report contains:

- an executive summary with key indicators and findings and a definition of the purpose, scope and source base of the report,
- the evaluation methodology, including the distinction between communication, dissemination and exploitation of results,
- an overview of dissemination objectives, target groups and channels and a register of implemented activities with their substantive assessment,
- an evaluation of the individual communication and dissemination channels,
- a qualitative impact assessment, a comparison of the plan with implementation and a check of compliance with the publicity rules,
- the limits of the evaluation, risks, measures for sustainability and conclusions,
- annexes with registers of news items, blogs, outputs, publications and events and selected photo documentation.

The report builds on deliverables **D25 – Communication, Dissemination and Exploitation Plan** (objectives, target groups, channels and dissemination indicators) and **D28 – Communication Toolkit** (visual identity of the project and mandatory

publicity elements); the scientific dissemination of results is documented in deliverable **D24 – Peer-reviewed Scientific Articles**. The abbreviations used in the text of the report are listed in Table 1.

Table 1 - List of abbreviations used

Abbreviation	**Meaning**
CDE	Communication, Dissemination and Exploitation
KPB	Key work package (kľúčový pracovný balík)
KPI	Key Performance Indicator
LI	LinkedIn
UK	Comenius University Bratislava
UPJŠ	Pavol Jozef Šafárik University in Košice
WK	Wolters Kluwer SR s. r. o.

1. Executive summary


Report D29 evaluates the performance of the communication and dissemination activities of the ALTAML project over the period M01–M24. It distinguishes between produced outputs, reach, engagement and documented impact. It separately distinguishes dissemination that has been carried out from the exploitation of results, which is considered proven only where there is evidence of a subsequent application, partnership, implementation or commercialisation step.

Table 2 - Overview of key dissemination indicators for the period M01 – M24

DISSEMINATION OUTPUTS			
11 news items	**8 blog articles**	**8 publications target 4**	**12 categories of public outputs**
EVENTS AND REACH			
≥14 unique activities	**7 workshops**	**2 project conferences**	**91 recorded attendances***
DIGITAL AND MEDIA COMMUNICATION			
≥10 LinkedIn updates	**95 followers**	**1 press release**	**5 media mentions**

The evidence base confirms multi-layered dissemination combining the website, professional and popularising content, scientific publications, workshops, conferences, media, policy dialogue and international academic cooperation. The project description set an expected number of 4 research publications disseminating the project results; D24 documents 8 articles or contributions in 6 publication forums, i.e. 200 % of this target value. The project description also lists 4 dissemination activities for potential partners/customers; at least 6 documented activities were, according to the documented audience, directly oriented towards legal practice, public administration, partners or the legal-tech community. For three events, a total of 91 recorded attendances (13 + 41 + 37) is documented; this is not the number of unique persons over the whole project.

Main conclusion: The project has demonstrably built and used a functioning communication and dissemination infrastructure. The most strongly documented elements are scientific outputs, professional events, the public availability of results and cross-sectoral professional dialogue. The practical exploitation of results has an identified potential.



Status of public sources as of 14 August 2026. LinkedIn shows at least 10 updates; the full number requires an administrator export.

Figure 1 - Publicly available communication and publication outputs of ALTAML by type

1.1. Key findings

- The website served as the central information hub, bringing together news, blogs, media and project outputs.
- The dissemination activities demonstrably covered several target groups and combined internal knowledge transfer, professional workshops, project conferences, external conference presentations, policy dialogue and international academic cooperation.
- Policy dialogue is documented by a separate meeting with the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic and by the participation of representatives of public administration and judicial practice at the conferences; a specific influence on decision-making or legislation cannot be demonstrated from the available documentation.
- The published outputs create the preconditions for open science, professional reuse and sustainability; specific subsequent use is assessed only where it is documented.
- The public LinkedIn profile made it possible to verify the minimum number of updates and the follower count at a given point in time.
- D24 documents 8 scientific articles or contributions in six publication forums; compared with the expected value of 4 research publications stated in the project description, this represents 200 % of the target. All 8 items contain an acknowledgement or funding information for the ALTAML project.
- The evidence archive of three events contains three attendance records with a total of 91 entries: 13 for the workshop of 14 May 2025, 41 for the workshop of 27 March 2026 and 37 for the conference of 24 June 2026; only aggregated data are used in the public report.

1.2. Evaluation map: result – channel – audience – evidence

The following map shows how selected project results were translated into specific dissemination channels and which documented result can be attributed to the individual target groups.

Table 3 - Evaluation map: result – channel – audience – evidence

Result / topic	Channel	Target group	Documented result	Level of evidence
Methods of legal text analysis	Professional workshops	Students, researchers, legal community	3 events with complete records; 91 recorded attendances	Direct documentary evidence
Scientific findings of KPB5 / D24	Peer-reviewed publications	Scientific and academic community	8 outputs in 6 publication forums; 8/8 contain the project acknowledgement	Direct publication evidence
AI and its responsible use in the judiciary	Project conference	Judiciary, public administration, academia	Conference of 24 June 2026; 37 recorded attendances; 44 photographs	Direct documentary evidence
Project results and legal-tech	Website and LinkedIn	Professional and general public	11 news items, 8 blogs, ≥10 publicly visible LI updates	Content evidence; reach without admin analytics
ALTAML results in an international context	Conferences and mobility	International academic/legal-tech community	Law via the Internet 2025; Law–Business–Economics 2025; Marquette mobility	Public evidence of implementation

Note: the "level of evidence" assesses the existence of documentary support, not the degree of societal or economic impact.

2. Purpose, scope and background of the report

D29 is a public project output of work package KPB5. The report covers the dissemination and communication activities carried out during the implementation of the project and provides a basis for assessing the fulfilment of the dissemination plan, the quality of the communication mix and the impact achieved.

2.1. Source base

Table 4 - Source base of the report and its use

Source	Use in the report
Project description	Project objectives, work package KPB5, list of deliverables and the position of D29.
D25 – dissemination plan	Objectives, target groups, channels, activities, timeline, monitoring and risks.

Source	Use in the report
D28 – communication toolkit	Visual identity, mandatory elements, templates and rules for the website and social media.
ALTAML website	Public news, blog, media and outputs; status verified as of 14 August 2026.
Project LinkedIn profile	Public profile: at least 10 visible updates and 95 followers as of 14 August 2026; complete KPIs and trends require administrator exports.
Internal records of the partners	Attendance lists, registrations, photographs, presentations, media outputs and feedback. The available archive contains complete attendance records for three selected events.
D24 – peer-reviewed scientific articles	Precise register of 8 scientific articles and contributions, authors, publication forums and project acknowledgements.
Evidence archive of events	Three attendance records, one presentation and photo documentation for the workshops of 14 May 2025 and 27 March 2026 and the conference of 24 June 2026.
Archive of project outputs	89 files in 24 thematic folders: 43 PDF, 35 DOCX, 8 ZIP, 1 RDF, 1 CSV and 1 XLSX. The archive serves as evidence of the existence and breadth of the results, not automatically as evidence of their public release.

Project website: https://altaml.upjs.sk/
News: https://altaml.upjs.sk/category/news/
Blog: https://altaml.upjs.sk/blog/
Media: https://altaml.upjs.sk/media/
Outputs: https://altaml.upjs.sk/vystupy/
Public LinkedIn profile: https://www.linkedin.com/company/altaml-project/posts/?feedView=all

3. Evaluation methodology

3.1. Distinction between communication, dissemination and exploitation of results

Table 5 - Distinction between communication, dissemination and exploitation of results

Category	Meaning
C – communication	Raising awareness of the project, informing about activities, invitations, reports, blogs and social media.
D – dissemination	Targeted professional communication of results to the scientific, academic, legal and public communities.
E – exploitation of results	Practical use of the results demonstrated by subsequent application, implementation, partnership, a commercialisation step or other documented use beyond dissemination itself.

3.2. Counting rules

1. One event is counted only once, even if an invitation, registration notice and follow-up report were published for it.
2. Invitations, registration notices and follow-up reports are reported as separate communication outputs, not as separate events.
3. If one summary news item describes several separate activities, each activity is recorded separately, provided that it has a different content or lecturer.
4. If a public source does not state the exact date of the event, a verifiable time reference is used (e.g. the month or the publication date) and the exact date is not stated without separate evidence.
5. KPIs without a verifiable source are not filled in by estimate. They are marked as "not available in the submitted evidence base" and are not included in the quantitative assessment of reach.

3.3. Limitations of the available data

The public inventory of the website and LinkedIn makes it possible to reliably determine the minimum number of published content items, the publicly displayed follower count at a given point in time and the publicly documented activities. D24 makes it possible to determine precisely the number and bibliographic data of the scientific outputs. Three attendance records quantify 91 attendance entries at three events; this is neither the number of unique persons over the whole project nor attendance at all events. The archive provided documents the existence of project files, not automatically their public release.

4. Dissemination objectives, target groups and channels

4.1. Main objectives

- to raise awareness of the project, its objectives, methodology, results and societal benefits;
- to disseminate scientific and technical results through publications, conferences and professional workshops;
- to build and develop cooperation with academic, public and private entities;
- to support the practical application of the results and their use in legal practice, the judiciary and legal information systems;
- to contribute to education, capacity building and the interdisciplinary linking of law, data analytics and artificial intelligence;
- to ensure the sustainability and long-term availability of the project results.

4.2. Target groups

Table 6 - Dissemination target groups and their characteristics

Target group	Characteristics
Scientific and academic community	Researchers, academics, legal informatics specialists and AI experts.
Legal professions	Judges, prosecutors, attorneys, notaries, bailiffs, in-house lawyers and other practitioners.
Students and early-career researchers	Students of law and computer science, doctoral students and early-career researchers.
Public institutions and policymakers	Ministries, courts and public authorities.
Industry and commercial sector	IT and legal-tech companies, providers of legal information systems and consulting entities.
Media and general public	Journalists, citizens and persons interested in science, law and the functioning of the rule of law.

4.3. Main channels

Table 7 - Main communication and dissemination channels of the project

Channel	Function
Project website	Central platform for news, blogs, outputs, media and contact information.
LinkedIn	Professional and academic communication, distribution of updates and interaction with the community.
Conferences and workshops	Presentation of results, discussion, feedback and building of professional relationships.
Publications and repositories	Scientific dissemination, open access and reuse of results.
Media and press	Communication of milestones and the societal significance of the project to a wider audience.
Internal meetings	Coordination, knowledge transfer between partners and interdisciplinary learning.

5. Register of implemented activities


The following table contains the unique activities identified on the project website and the public LinkedIn profile. The record distinguishes the activity itself from its communication outputs in order to avoid double counting of invitations, registrations, shares and follow-up reports.

Table 8 - Register of unique implemented activities

ID	Date	Activity	Type	C/D/E	Target group	Evidence
A01	February 2025	Kick-off meeting of the ALTAML project team	Internal coordination	C	Project consortium	News item of 14 February 2025; News section, page 2.
A02	before 22 April 2025	Internal workshop: AI in the analysis of court decisions	Internal workshop	D	Project teams	Summary news item of 22 April 2025; exact date not verified in the available documentation.
A03	before 22 April 2025	Internal workshop: systematic contextual analysis of legal text	Internal workshop	D	Project teams	Summary news item of 22 April 2025; exact date not verified in the available documentation.
A04	before 22 April 2025	Internal workshop: results of the user survey	Internal workshop	D	Project teams; application partner	Summary news item of 22 April 2025; exact date not verified in the available documentation.

ID	Date	Activity	Type	C/D/E	Target group	Evidence
A05	14 May 2025	Workshop: Analysis of court decisions using data and machine learning	Professional workshop	D	Students, researchers, professional public	Invitation and report; attendance list with 13 signed entries; presentation; 6 image files.
A06	September 2025	Meeting with the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic	Policy dialogue	D	Public administration; policymakers	News item of 4 September 2025; exact date of the meeting not verified in the available documentation.
A07	22–24 October 2025	Participation in the international symposium Law – Business – Economics 2025	External conference dissemination	D	Academia, legal practice, partners	Public LinkedIn post; official symposium invitation.
A08	11 November 2025	ALTAML workshop within the Science and Technology Week	Professional workshop	D	Public, students, researchers	LinkedIn invitation and follow-up report.
A09	12–14 November 2025	Presentation of ALTAML results at Law via the Internet 2025 in Sydney	International conference presentation	D	International legal-tech and legal information community	Public LinkedIn post; public conference information.
A10	14 November 2025	Conference Law and Innovation – Is Legal Practice Ready for AI?	Project conference	D	Academia, legal profession, judiciary, technology sector	Registration of 20 October 2025 and report of 27 November 2025.
A11	27 March 2026	Workshop: Automated Analysis of Legal Texts	Professional workshop	D	Legal and academic community	News item and LinkedIn; attendance list on 3 photographed pages with 41 signed entries; 3 photographs from the event.
A12	spring 2026	Research stay of four project members at Marquette University Law School	International research mobility	D	International academic community	Public LinkedIn post; exact dates not verified in the available documentation.
A13	May 2026	ALTAML workshop at the conference for doctoral students and early-career researchers	Professional workshop	D	Doctoral students and early-career researchers	News item and LinkedIn post of May 2026; exact date not verified in the available documentation.
A14	24 June 2026	ALTAML conference: Responsible Use of AI in the Judiciary	Project conference	D	Public administration, judicial practice, academia, relevant institutions	Invitation, report and LinkedIn; 2-page attendance list with 37 named entries; 44 photographs.

Activities A06, A10, A12 and A14 create a clear potential for subsequent exploitation; in D29, however, they are conservatively classified as dissemination (D).



At least 14 unique activities in total after removing duplicates between invitations, registrations and follow-up reports.

Figure 2 - Structure of publicly documented unique activities after deduplication of the website and LinkedIn

5.1. Substantive assessment of the activities

5.1.1. Internal dissemination

The internal workshops focused on knowledge transfer between lawyers, computer scientists, data analysts and the application partner. The content covered AI in the analysis of court decisions, systematic contextual analysis and the results of the survey of users of legal texts; the longer-term effect on interdisciplinary cooperation was not measured separately.

5.1.2. Professional workshops and education

The professional workshops combined explanations of methodological approaches with practical demonstrations. Public sources document four external professional workshops and three internal workshops. The content included the graph representation of court decisions, anomaly detection, NLP, information extraction, systematic content analysis and machine learning methods. For the workshop of 14 May 2025, 13 attendances, a presentation, photo documentation and visuals are documented. The workshop Automated Analysis of Legal Texts: Methods and Tools of 27 March 2026 has a three-page photographed attendance list with 41 signed entries and separate photo documentation.

5.1.3. Conferences and professional dialogue

Two project conferences in November 2025 and June 2026 created a space for discussion between academia, the legal profession, judicial practice, public administration and the technology sector. For the conference of 24 June 2026, a two-

page attendance list documents 37 named entries and the archive contains 44 photographs. In addition, the public LinkedIn profile documents the presentation of results at Law via the Internet 2025 in Sydney and professional participation in the symposium Law – Business – Economics 2025. These activities extended dissemination beyond the project's own events.

5.1.4. Policy dialogue and links to practice

The meeting with a representative of the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic and the participation of representatives of the ministry and judicial practice at the conferences demonstrate that the project did not communicate solely within the academic environment. However, the public documentation does not yet make it possible to demonstrate a specific legislative or implementation change brought about by the project results; this impact must be assessed on the basis of subsequent steps and confirmations from stakeholders.

5.1.5. International cooperation

The research stay of four project members at Marquette University Law School and the presentation of results in Sydney demonstrate the international dimension of dissemination. Public documentation confirms an exchange of experience in the fields of law and technology, privacy, digital regulation and advanced methods of legal text analysis. The exact dates of the stay and specific follow-up outputs were not verified in the available documentation; therefore, no exploitation is inferred from the mobility.

6. Evaluation of communication and dissemination channels

6.1. Project website

The project website served as the central information and publication hub. Its public structure comprises information about the project, outputs, news, blog, media, team and contacts. The content register confirms regular publication during 2025 and 2026.

Table 9 - Content structure of the project website

Section	Number of items	Function
News	11	Activities, invitations, reports and project milestones.
Blog	8	Popularising and professional topics at the intersection of law and AI.
Press release	1	Coordinated presentation of the project to the media and the public on 27 February 2025.
Media mentions	5	Four online articles and one radio mention listed in the project media register.
Outputs	12	Methods, databases, technical results, articles and communication documents.
LinkedIn	≥10	Publicly visible updates.

6.2. LinkedIn

In the dissemination plan, LinkedIn is defined as the primary channel of professional and academic communication. The public ALTAML profile was verified as of 14 August 2026 and showed 95 followers and at least 10 updates. The public posts covered invitations and follow-up reports from events, presentations of research results, international cooperation and professional networking. This public view allows the content activity to be assessed, but not the full reach or engagement.

Table 10 - Indicators of the public LinkedIn profile of the project

LinkedIn KPI	Value / source
Publicly visible updates	at least 10; public profile, status as of 14 August 2026
Number of followers	95; public profile, status as of 14 August 2026
Activities added from LinkedIn only	4 unique activities after deduplication against the website

6.3. Media and press

Media communication was concentrated in an initial wave in February 2025. On 27 February 2025, a press release was issued on the universities joining forces with the commercial sector in the automation of legal text analysis. The project website lists five media placements or mentions: TASR/Teraz.sk, Bleskovky, Košice Online, Legalis and Rádio Melody. Four online articles were publicly verified. For Rádio Melody, neither a recording nor a clipping was available in the submitted documentation. Media reach and tonality are therefore not quantified.

Table 11 - Register of media outputs

#	Output / medium	Publicly verified data
1	Press release of the Faculty of Law, Comenius University	"Universities join forces with the commercial sector: Machine learning will make the analysis of legal texts more efficient", 27 February 2025; public PDF.
2	TASR / Teraz.sk	"Universities implement a project aimed at automating legal texts", 27 February 2025, 10:49; public article.
3	Bleskovky	"UPJŠ and the Faculty of Law of Comenius University implement a project aimed at automating legal texts", 27 February 2025, 10:40; public article.
4	Košice Online	"UPJŠ will also help with a project aimed at automating the processing of legal texts", 27 February 2025, 18:08; public article.
5	Legalis	"Universities and the commercial sector join forces: Machine learning will make the analysis of legal texts more efficient", 28 February 2025; public article.
6	Rádio Melody	Mentioned in the project media register; recording/clipping, reach and tonality were not available in the submitted documentation.

6.4. Publications and public outputs

The Outputs section makes technical, methodological, data, scientific and communication results available. Public availability supports the principles of open science and enables further professional use. In addition to the number of outputs, the KPI evaluation should track downloads, repository visits, citations and specific use cases.

6.4.1. Peer-reviewed scientific articles and contributions (D24)

D24 contains 8 scientific articles or contributions. The set of publications links legal informatics, the retrieval and linking of legal documents, anomaly detection, the methodology of empirical legal research, the regulation of digital services, digital marketing and relevant markets. The outputs were published in six publication forums; the complete bibliographic register is provided in Annex G.

Table 12 - Publication forums of the D24 scientific outputs

Publication forum	Number	Thematic focus
JURIX 2025	2	legal informatics, resolution of legal references and anomaly detection
Bratislava Legal Forum 2025	2	regulation of digital platforms and digital marketing
JURISIN 2025	1	retrieval of legal documents
Forum Iuris Europaeum	1	methodology of empirical legal research
ECLIC 2025	1	application of the Digital Services Act
Professional proceedings, ŠafárikPress UPJŠ	1	relevant markets in the digital economy

The check of D24 confirmed that all 8 items contain an acknowledgement or funding information for the ALTAML project. For three records, the accompanying D24 list and the publications themselves differ in the range of authors listed. The bibliographic register in Annex G therefore takes the author data from the publications themselves and records the difference transparently. D24 represents a measurable channel of both national and international scientific dissemination; citation response, indexing and the number of downloads were not available in the submitted bibliographic documentation and repositories and are therefore not evaluated in D29.

6.4.2. Technical, methodological and data outputs

The archive provided contains 89 files in 24 thematic folders. The file composition includes 43 PDF documents, 35 DOCX documents, 8 ZIP archives, 1 semantic graph in RDF format, 1 CSV file and 1 XLSX file. The content covers KPB1 to KPB5 and provides evidence of the breadth of the results, from the user survey and datasets, through extraction, segmentation, retrieval and analysis methods, to the evaluation report, publications, dissemination plan and toolkit. The complete inventory is provided in Annex I.

Table 13 - Structure of the archive of project outputs by work package

Work package	Deliverables in the archive	Main content
KPB1	D01–D04	user requirements, legal text processing, continuous data acquisition and datasets
KPB2	D05–D10	extraction methods, legal concepts, keywords, content analysis and segmentation
KPB3	D14–D17	semantic graph, repository, query model and intermediary search method
KPB4	D18–D22	relevance, text linking, clusters, verdict prediction and outlier detection
KPB5	D23–D25, D28	evaluation, scientific publications, dissemination plan and communication toolkit

The archive inventory is interpreted separately from public availability. Public release is evaluated only for items that were verified on the project website or in an open publication source.

6.5. Documentation and quantification of selected events

The archive delivered contains documentation for three selected events. Three attendance records provide a total of 91 entries: 13 for the workshop of 14 May 2025, 41 for the workshop of 27 March 2026 and 37 for the conference of 24 June 2026. The total expresses the number of recorded attendances at the events, not the number of unique persons across the whole project. Photo documentation and the presentation supplement the quantitative figure with content-related and visual evidence of implementation.

Table 14 - Quantification of selected events according to the evidence archive

Activity	Evidence file	Attendance	Assessment
Workshop: Analysis of court decisions using data and machine learning 14 May 2025, Faculty of Science, UPJŠ, 9:00–11:00	1-page attendance list; 1 presentation; 6 image files	13 signed entries	Implementation, content, attendance and publicity are documented.
Workshop – Automated Analysis of Legal Texts: Methods and Tools 27 March 2026, Častá-Papiernička, 15:00–16:00	3 photographed pages of the attendance list; 3 photographs from the event	41 signed entries	Implementation, attendance and mandatory publicity are documented.
ALTAML conference: Responsible Use of AI in the Judiciary 24 June 2026, Faculty of Law, Comenius University, 9:00–11:30	2-page attendance list; 44 photographs	37 named entries	Implementation, cross-sectoral attendance and publicity are documented.

The attendance lists contain personal data and signatures. The public version of D29 therefore presents only aggregated figures; the lists of names remain part of the non-public evidence file.

7. Qualitative impact assessment

Methodology: the "high/medium/low" rating is based on a combination of (i) the range of target groups covered, (ii) the number and diversity of channels used, (iii) the availability of direct documentary evidence and (iv) the existence of a documented subsequent effect. Where only the implementation of an activity is documented, the wording explicitly distinguishes implementation from impact.

Table 15 - Qualitative impact assessment by area

Area	Assessment	Justification
Relevance to target groups	High	The activities covered academia, the legal professions, students, public administration, the judiciary and the technology sector.
Interdisciplinarity	High	The content systematically linked law, computer science, data analytics and machine learning.
Practical orientation	Medium	The workshops included practical demonstrations and the conferences discussed use in practice; a specific implementation or adoption of a result is not documented.

Area	Assessment	Justification
Policy dialogue	Demonstrated at the level of engagement	Documented meeting with the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic and participation of public administration at the conferences; influence on policy or legislation not demonstrated.
Capacity building	Demonstrated at the level of activities	Workshops for students, doctoral students and early-career researchers demonstrate educational activity; the longer-term learning impact was not measured.
Media visibility	Demonstrated, reach not quantified	1 press release, 4 publicly available online articles and 1 radio mention are documented; reach and tonality are missing.
Online reach	Partially evaluated	Content counts established, at least 10 LinkedIn updates and 95 followers; performance and traffic require exports.
Sustainability	Preconditions created	The website, public outputs, LinkedIn and international links exist; a post-project administrator and a minimum maintenance period are not documented.
Scientific dissemination	High at the level of outputs	D24 documents 8 outputs in 6 publication forums. Citations, indexing and downloads were not evaluated; therefore no scientific impact is inferred.

7.1. Examples of qualitative effects

- creation of a space for professional discussion between public administration, judicial practice and academia on AI in the judiciary;
- discussion of the need for human oversight, transparency and critical evaluation of generative AI outputs;
- activities aimed at developing AI literacy and interdisciplinary competences of lawyers, students and early-career researchers;
- transfer of user needs from the application partner's survey into the discussion of the project team;
- public availability of methods, databases, technical solutions and professional articles.

8. Evaluation of the plan against implementation

Table 16 - Evaluation of the dissemination plan requirements against implementation

D25 / project requirement	Tool / channel	Primary audience	Documented result	Evidence	Assessment
Systematic, targeted and measurable dissemination of results	combination of website, LI, media, publications and events	all target groups	multi-channel implementation; some reach/engagement metrics not available	D25; D29 registers	Implemented; measurability of reach partial
Establish and maintain the project website	project website	professional and general public	11 news items, 8 blogs, 12 publicly listed categories of outputs, media and contacts; the completeness of publication of all outputs was not verified	ALTAML website; archive of outputs	**Channel implemented; completeness of public availability partially verified**
Build a professional presence on LinkedIn	LinkedIn	academia, legal practice, partners	≥10 publicly visible updates; 95 followers	public LI profile	Implementation documented; reach incomplete
Organise workshops and conferences	workshops; project conferences	students, researchers, lawyers, judiciary, public administration	7 workshops; 2 project conferences; 91 recorded attendances at 3 events. D25 provides for at least 2 specialised events per year in phase 3.	website; LI; evidence archive	**Multiple implementation documented; exact annual fulfilment of phase 3 cannot be independently verified**
4 dissemination activities for potential partners / customers	conferences, workshops, professional dialogue	potential users, partners and customers	≥6 activities with a documented audience from practice/public administration/legal-tech (A06, A07, A09, A10, A11, A14)	register of activities; project description	**Target of 4 met; classification according to the documented audience, not according to the establishment of a new partnership**
4 research publications disseminating the project results	peer-reviewed articles and conference contributions	scientific and academic community	8 outputs in 6 publication forums; 8/8 with the project acknowledgement	project description; D24	**Target exceeded: 200 % of the planned value**
Policy dialogue and contact with public institutions	meetings; conferences	ministries, courts, policymakers	meeting with the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic; participation of public administration and judicial practice	website; events	Activity documented; policy impact not demonstrated
Press and media releases according to milestones; D25 envisages regular publication	press release; media	media and general public	1 press release; 5 media placements/mentions	website media; public articles	**Media presence documented; neither the regularity of press releases nor the reach can be quantitatively confirmed**

D25 / project requirement	Tool / channel	Primary audience	Documented result	Evidence	Assessment
Ongoing KPI monitoring and reporting	registers; website/LI analytics; annual communication report; semi-annual internal review	project consortium	output indicators and selected attendances available; website/LI reach and engagement without admin exports; separate annual/semi-annual reports were not submitted	D25; D29; available documentation	**Partially documented; planned periodic monitoring artefacts not verified**
Support for sustainability, open access and further use	public outputs; website; repositories; international links	researchers, practice, partners	public infrastructure and 12 categories of outputs exist; the full publication of all data/outputs and the universal open-source storage of all methods were not verified in the evidence	website; archive; D24; project description	**Preconditions created; completeness of open access and exploitation not demonstrated**
Promotional materials: brochures, posters, leaflets	printed/digital materials	professional and general public; future partners	a sample of visuals/posters at events is documented; the distribution of brochures and leaflets is not systematically captured in the submitted records	D25; D28; evidence archive	**Partially documented**
Regular meetings of the dissemination working group (at least monthly)	internal coordination meetings	project consortium	the available materials document internal activities, but not complete monthly records of the working group	D25; available records	**Cannot be evaluated from the submitted documentation**

8.1. Overall assessment of the fulfilment of the dissemination plan

Table 17 - Overall assessment of the fulfilment of the dissemination plan

Area	Overall assessment
Communication infrastructure	Implemented and publicly documented
Scientific dissemination	Strong at the level of outputs: 8 publications against a target of 4 (200 %); citation impact not evaluated
Events and professional community	Implemented; reach partially quantified
Media and general public	Media presence documented; 1 press release and 5 mentions, but regularity and reach not evaluated
Digital reach and engagement	Content documented; reach/engagement only partially assessable
Policy dialogue	Engagement documented; policy impact not demonstrated
Exploitation / practical use	Potential identified; subsequent use not demonstrated

Area	Overall assessment
Sustainability	Preconditions created; post-project management of channels not verified
Public availability of outputs	12 publicly listed categories verified; the completeness of publication of all planned data/outputs and open-source methods not verified
Monitoring and reporting	D29 consolidates the available data, but the planned annual/semi-annual monitoring outputs and monthly working group meetings were not verified in the submitted records

9. Compliance with the visual identity and publicity rules

The communication toolkit requires the consistent use of the ALTAML logo, partner logos, the defined colour palette, typography and the mandatory information on funding from the EU NextGenerationEU / Recovery and Resilience Plan of the Slovak Republic. The D29 check focused on the available sample: the project website, D24, attendance records and selected event visuals. The findings therefore confirm compliance within the sample, not a complete audit of every file in the project archive.

Table 18 - Check of the visual identity and publicity rules

Element	Requirement	Finding in the available sample
ALTAML logo	To be verified on title pages, presentations, invitations and visuals.	Present on the project website and in selected event visuals; a complete audit of all project files was not carried out.
Partner logos	UPJŠ, Comenius University and Wolters Kluwer according to the approved template.	The attendance records contain the mandatory publicity strip; the completeness of partner logos was assessed only in a sample of materials.
Funding	Mandatory statement and the relevant funding logos.	Verified sample: 8/8 D24 items contain the project acknowledgement; all three attendance records use the mandatory publicity strip.
Colour palette	Primary blue, accent gold and dark blue.	The toolkit defines the project palette; the available visuals are essentially consistent with it.
Typography	Myriad Pro; Arial or Calibri where technically unavailable.	The toolkit defines Myriad Pro; D29 uses a substitute office font where technically necessary.
Structure of social media posts	Engaging introduction, significance of the result, call to action, consistent hashtags.	The public sample uses the consistent #ALTAML tag and thematic hashtags; the complete history is not available from the public profile.
Contact and web details	Functional links and uniform project designation.	Website: present

10. Limits of the evaluation, risks and measures for sustainability

Table 19 - Limits of the evaluation, risks and recommended measures

Risk	Consequence	Priority	Measure / recommendation
Incomplete analytical data	Online reach and engagement cannot be evaluated.	High	If administrator exports become available, archive them as supplementary evidence; D29 transparently states their absence and does not estimate the values.
Double counting of content	An invitation and a follow-up report may be incorrectly reported as two events.	Medium	Continue to use unique activity IDs and record communication outputs separately (invitation, registration, follow-up report).
Incomplete attendance data	Three events are quantified; for the others, the total reach cannot be demonstrated.	Medium	Retain the verified aggregates of 13, 41 and 37 in the public report; do not state unverified figures for the other events.
Insufficient media monitoring	The media list does not demonstrate actual reach or tonality.	Medium	In the further use of the project, archive URLs/clippings and, where available, audience and tonality data.
Unclear sustainability of channels	The availability of content may decline after the end of the project.	Medium	Designate a post-project administrator of the website, repositories and LinkedIn profile and a minimum period of their availability.
Inconsistent visual identity	Differing partner materials may weaken the brand and publicity.	Medium	Use the approved D28 templates for future materials; the available sample of D24, attendance lists and visuals did not show any fundamental problem.

11. Conclusions and recommendations

On the basis of the project documentation, publicly available sources and the evidence archive, it can be concluded that ALTAML carried out multi-layered communication and dissemination: web content, professional and popularising articles, scientific publications, press and media communication, internal and external workshops, project conferences, policy dialogue, international conference presentations and research mobility. The substantive check against the project description confirms two strong quantitative findings: D24 contains 8 research publications against an expected value of 4 (200 % of the target), and at least 6 documented activities can, according to the documented audience, be classified as dissemination activities for potential partners/customers against a target of 4. In total, at least 14 unique activities were identified after deduplication of the website and LinkedIn, and 91 attendance entries are documented for three events.

The results are most strongly documented at the level of outputs and the implementation of activities. Policy dialogue and links to practice are documented at the level of stakeholder engagement, but not at the level of a demonstrated legislative, implementation or commercialisation change.

Annex A – Register of news items

Table 20 - Register of news items on the project website

#	Date	Title	Nature of the item
1	14 February 2025	Kick-off meeting of the ALTAML project team	Activity
2	22 April 2025	Internal workshops bring together project teams and knowledge	Summary report on 3 activities
3	9 May 2025	Analysis of court decisions (workshop)	Invitation
4	21 May 2025	Workshop: Analysis of court decisions using data and machine learning	Activity report
5	4 September 2025	Meeting with the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic	Activity report
6	20 October 2025	Registration for the Law and Innovation conference is open!	Invitation/registration
7	27 November 2025	Is legal practice ready for AI? The Law and Innovation conference opened key topics	Activity report
8	30 March 2026	Workshop: Automated Analysis of Legal Texts	Activity report
9	11 May 2026	ALTAML workshop at the conference for doctoral students	Activity report
10	15 June 2026	Invitation to the ALTAML conference	Invitation
11	3 July 2026	The ALTAML conference opened a discussion on the responsible use of AI in the judiciary	Activity report

Source of the register: https://altaml.upjs.sk/category/news/

Note: the News register contains 11 web posts representing at least 10 unique activities. The difference arises because some items are invitations or registration notices and one summary item documents three internal workshops. The public LinkedIn profile adds four further unique activities, so the combined register contains at least 14 activities.

Annex B – Register of blog articles

Table 21 - Register of blog articles

#	Date	Article title
1	29 April 2025	Can an algorithm predict a murderer? A controversial British project raises ethical questions.
2	28 May 2025	Digi-therapy: Is AI a better listener than a human?
3	7 July 2025	AI would let us die, or blackmail and mislead us
4	30 July 2025	Brain on autopilot: The price we pay for the convenience of using AI

#	Date	Article title
5	8 September 2025	A threat to privacy in the EU, or why is Chat Control 2.0 such a controversial issue?
6	28 October 2025	The GenAI trap in law, or what to watch out for when using it
7	16 January 2026	5 main duties of an attorney when using AI under the new methodological guidance
8	17 March 2026	Legal AI Paradox: The limits of generative intelligence in legal practice

Source of the register: https://altaml.upjs.sk/blog/

Annex C – Register of publicly listed outputs

Table 22 - Register of publicly listed outputs

#	Work package	Publicly listed output / category
1	KPB1	Method for the continuous downloading of court decisions
2	KPB1	Survey of end users of legal texts and its summary
3	KPB2	Method for assigning key terms
4	KPB2.1	Method for extracting references / ALTAML Referex
5	KPB2.4	Database of Slovak and English legal terms
6	KPB2.6	Content analysis of decisions
7	KPB3.1	Semantic graph
8	KPB3.2	Repository design
9	KPB4.3	Method for creating and describing clusters
10	KPB5.2	Peer-reviewed scientific articles
11	KPB5.3	Communication, dissemination and exploitation plan (D25)
12	KPB5.3	Communication toolkit (D28)

Source of the register: https://altaml.upjs.sk/vystupy/

Annex D – Limits of the available data and source availability

Table 23 - Limits of the available data and source availability

Area	Indicator / evidence	Availability in the evidence base	Impact on the assessment
Project period	Calendar dates of M01–M24	Project designation M01–M24 available; exact calendar definition not available	The report uses M01–M24 without deriving dates

Area	Indicator / evidence	Availability in the evidence base	Impact on the assessment
LinkedIn content	Number of posts; impressions; clicks; engagement	≥10 updates publicly; administrator Content export not available	Content activity documented; performance not evaluated
LinkedIn audience	Followers; visitors; trend	95 followers as of 14 August 2026; Followers/Visitors export not available	Point-in-time status documented; growth and traffic not evaluated
Web analytics	Users; sessions; views; sources; downloads; top pages	Administrator export not available	Website reach and conversions not evaluated
Events	Registrations; attendance; organisations; feedback	3 events have complete attendance records = 91 entries; the others have content evidence only	Quantification applies to 3 events only
Media	URL/clipping; reach; tonality	4 online articles publicly verified + Rádio Melody in the register; audience metrics not available	Media presence documented; reach not quantified
Publications	DOI/ISBN; OA; citations; downloads	8 D24 items and publicity verified; some identifiers available; citation metrics not available	Output documented; scientific impact not evaluated
Exploitation	Implementation; partnership; commercialisation step	Potential identified; specific subsequent use not demonstrated in the documentation	Exploitation is not assessed as an achieved result
Publicity	Logos; funding; visual identity	Positively verified sample of D24, attendance records and visuals	Compliance confirmed in the sample, not by a complete audit of the archive
Ongoing monitoring	Annual communication report; semi-annual internal review; monthly working group meetings	D25 plans these tools; separate evidence of complete periodic implementation was not submitted	The monitoring process cannot be fully verified retrospectively
Public availability	All key data, outputs and documents; open-source methods / public repositories	12 categories verified on the website; the archive contains a broader set of results; full correspondence of all planned outputs with public availability was not audited	D29 confirms substantial, though not fully demonstrated, public availability
Promotional materials	Brochures, posters and leaflets and their targeted distribution	Visuals/posters are documented in the sample of events; a systematic distribution register of brochures/leaflets was not submitted	Fulfilment can be confirmed only partially

Annex E – Evidence file and recommended archival items

- E01–E10: documentation for the individual activities – programme, invitation, presentation, photographs, aggregated attendance and feedback; for three events, attendance records and photo documentation are available.
- E11: web analytics and calculation methodology – not available in the submitted documentation; recommended for archiving, if they exist.
- E12: LinkedIn Content Analytics export – not available in the submitted documentation; recommended for archiving, if it exists.
- E13: LinkedIn Followers and Visitors export – not available in the submitted documentation; recommended for archiving, if it exists.
- E14: media monitoring and archived outputs – public articles partially available; audience metrics and the Rádio Melody clipping not available.
- E15: register of the 8 D24 publications and available DOI/ISBN; citation metrics and downloads were not part of the submitted documentation.
- E16: overview of partnerships, expressions of interest and follow-up steps – a systematic register was not available in the documentation.
- E17: checklist of visual identity and mandatory publicity – sample check carried out in D29.
- E18: formal approval and publication of D29 in accordance with the project's internal process.

Annex F – Register of publicly visible LinkedIn updates

Table 24 - Register of publicly visible LinkedIn updates

#	Publicly identified content	Nature	Link to activity
1	ALTAML conference: results and discussion on AI in the judiciary (24 June 2026)	Follow-up report	A14
2	Invitation to the ALTAML conference (24 June 2026)	Invitation / registration	A14
3	ALTAML workshop at the conference for doctoral students (May 2026)	Activity report	A13
4	Research stay of four ALTAML members at Marquette University Law School	International cooperation	A12
5	Workshop Automated Analysis of Legal Texts (27 March 2026)	Activity report	A11
6	ALTAML at the Science and Technology Week (11 November 2025)	Activity report	A08
7	Presentation of results at Law via the Internet 2025 in Sydney (12–14 November 2025)	External conference dissemination	A09
8	Conference Law and Innovation – Is Legal Practice Ready for AI? (14 November 2025)	Follow-up report / sharing	A10
9	Invitation to the workshop Analysis of Court Decisions (11 November 2025)	Invitation	A08

#	Publicly identified content	Nature	Link to activity
10	Participation in the conference Law, Business and Economics 2025 (22–24 October 2025)	Professional participation / networking	A07

Source of the register: https://www.linkedin.com/company/altaml-project/ (public view verified on 14 August 2026). The public page may not display the complete history; the definitive number and performance of posts cannot be determined without a LinkedIn Page Analytics export.

Annex G – Register of D24 scientific publications

D24 records eight scientific articles or contributions. The bibliographic data in the table are taken primarily from the publications themselves; the accompanying D24 document was used to check online availability and project funding information.

Table 25 - Register of D24 scientific publications

#	Title	Authors	Publication source / access
1	Enhancing Document Retrieval in Large Corpora: A Keyphrase and Reference-Based Approach	Zoltán Szoplák; Dávid Varga; Peter Gurský; Šimon Horvát; Stanislav Krajči	JURISIN 2025 online proceedings
2	Perspektívy využitia metódy systematickej kontextovej analýzy v právnom výskume [Prospects for the use of the systematic contextual analysis method in legal research]	Pavol Sokol; Laura Bachňáková Rózenfeldová	Forum Iuris Europaeum, vol. 13, no. 2 (2025), pp. 123–140 ISSN 1339-4401
3	Anomaly Detection in Legal Text – A Case Study on Cybersecurity Contracts	Pavol Sokol; Laura Bachňáková Rózenfeldová; Dávid Varga; Simona Rudohradská; Regina Hučková	JURIX 2025, Legal Knowledge and Information Systems, pp. 350–359 DOI 10.3233/FAIA251609; Open Access
4	A Self-Supervised Method for Legal Reference Resolution	Šimon Horvát; Dávid Varga; Peter Gurský; Nicol Fedurcová; Samuel Baran; Zoltán Szoplák	JURIX 2025, Legal Knowledge and Information Systems, pp. 300–309 DOI 10.3233/FAIA251601; Open Access
5	Vývoj a najnovšie trendy pri aplikácií aktu DSA v pomeroch kolaboratívneho hospodárstva s ohľadom na zodpovednosť platforiem [Development and latest trends in the application of the DSA in the collaborative economy with regard to platform liability]	Lukáš Macko	Bratislava Legal Forum 2025 conference proceedings

#	Title	Authors	Publication source / access
6	Nekalé obchodné praktiky v digitálnom marketingu a ich právne následky [Unfair commercial practices in digital marketing and their legal consequences]	Simona Rudohradská	Bratislava Legal Forum 2025 conference proceedings
7	Practical Application of the Digital Services Act – The Case of the Slovak Republic	Simona Rudohradská	ECLIC 2025, issue 9, pp. 521–543 online article
8	Relevantné trhy v digitálnom hospodárstve [Relevant markets in the digital economy]	Simona Rudohradská	Spotrebitelia a obchodníci online: právne a ekonomické výzvy digitálnych trhov [Consumers and traders online: legal and economic challenges of digital markets], 2025, pp. 118–129 ISBN 978-80-574-0475-0

The check of D24 confirmed the project acknowledgement or funding information for each of the eight items. For the article in Forum Iuris Europaeum and for the two JURIX contributions, the accompanying D24 list differs from the author data given in the publications themselves. The table uses the bibliographic data from the publications themselves; the difference is retained as a quality control record.

Annex H – Evidence register of selected events

The register summarises the content of the delivered archive of events without disclosing the names, signatures or other personal data of participants.

Table 26 - Evidence register of selected events

Activity	Archive content	Aggregated figure	Note for D29
Workshop: Analysis of court decisions using data and machine learning 14 May 2025, Faculty of Science, UPJŠ, 9:00–11:00	1-page attendance list; 1 presentation; 6 image files	13 signed entries	Implementation, content, attendance and publicity are documented.
Workshop – Automated Analysis of Legal Texts: Methods and Tools 27 March 2026, Častá-Papiernička, 15:00–16:00	3 photographed pages of the attendance list; 3 photographs from the event	41 signed entries	Implementation, attendance and mandatory publicity are documented.
ALTAML conference: Responsible Use of AI in the Judiciary 24 June 2026, Faculty of Law, Comenius University, 9:00–11:30	2-page attendance list; 44 photographs	37 named entries	Implementation, cross-sectoral attendance and publicity are documented.

Annex I – Dissemination matrix by work package

The matrix links the substantive content of the results with the channels and target groups. It is an analytical summary for D29; for individual items, public release is assessed on the basis of specific evidence.

Table 27 - Dissemination matrix by work package

KPB	Outputs	Content of results	Main dissemination channels	Primary target groups
KPB1	D01–D04	user requirements, processing of sources, continuous data acquisition and datasets	project website; project presentations; open repositories depending on the nature of the output	end users; researchers; technical community
KPB2	D05–D10	extraction of references, verdicts and procedural terms; legal concepts; keywords; segmentation	technical documentation; professional workshops; scientific articles; website and repositories	computer science; legal informatics; lawyers; students
KPB3	D14–D17	semantic graph, repository, query model and intermediary search method	demonstrations; workshops; project outputs; scientific publications	researchers; developers; providers of legal information systems
KPB4	D18–D22	relevance, text linking, clusters, verdict prediction and outlier detection	conferences; workshops; case studies; scientific publications	lawyers; judiciary; public administration; academic community
KPB5	D23–D31	evaluation, publications, CDE, website, social media, toolkit, KPIs, conference and workshops	website; LinkedIn; media; publications; conferences; workshops; policy dialogue	all internal and external target groups

Annex J – Selected photo documentation of events

The photographs are used as selected visual evidence of the implementation of the events. The attendance lists contain personal data and signatures and are therefore not reproduced in the public annex.

J.1 Workshop at the Faculty of Science, UPJŠ – 14 May 2025




Figure 3 - Selection from the photo documentation of the workshop on the analysis of court decisions using data and machine learning

J.2 Workshop Automated Analysis of Legal Texts – 27 March 2026




Figure 4 - Selection from the photo documentation of the workshop in Častá-Papiernička

J.3 ALTAML conference at the Faculty of Law, Comenius University – 24 June 2026



Figure 5 - Selection from the photo documentation of the ALTAML conference